UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,768	11/17/2005	Eric Zimmerman	047P 1861	7260
	7590 09/17/201 `& MAYER, LTD	EXAMINER		
TWO PRUDEN	ITIAL PLAZA, SUITΕ ΓETSON AVENUE	KELLY, CATHERINE A		
CHICAGO, IL			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3634	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/17/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Chgpatent@leydig.com

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)				
			10/519,768	ZIMMERMAN ET	ZIMMERMAN ET AL.			
		[i	Examiner	Art Unit				
			CATHERINE A. KELLY	3634				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appea	ars on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comp o period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DAT s of 37 CFR 1.136(nunication. atutory period will will, by statute, ca	TE OF THIS COMMUNIC. (a). In no event, however, may a repay and will expire SIX (6) MONT ause the application to become ABA	ATION. Oly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>01 Jul</i> y	2010					
•	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· —	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 13</u> is/are rejected.							
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
-	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or e	election requirement.					
	on Papers							
	The specification is objected to by th	o Evaminor						
•			sted or h) Objected to h	v the Evaminer				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
					`ER 1 121(d)			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	- 10 , 11.10 - 11.10						
	_	for foreign n	riority under 25 H.C.C.S.	110(a) (d) or (f)				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	ior ioreign p	nonty under 35 U.S.C. §	1 19(a)-(d) or (1).				
a)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Occurre attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachma-	No.)							
Attachmen 1) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Intension Su	ımmary (PTO-413)				
	e of References Cited (FTO-092) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		` -	ormal Patent Application				
rape	r No(s)/Mail Date		6)	- ·				

Application/Control Number: 10/519,768 Page 2

Art Unit: 3634

Election/Restrictions

Newly submitted claim 12 directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: claim 12 is directed to the reinforcement rib 19 as shown in the embodiment of figures 3b and c of the present application, however, the projection as detailed in claim 1, from which claim 12 depends, is the step support receiver 12a' as shown in the embodiment of figure 1a which does not have a reinforcement rib. While multiple embodiments can be claimed, the embodiments must be claimed as shown and cannot be mixed (adding features shown in one embodiment to another embodiment).

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claim 12 is withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

Application/Control Number: 10/519,768

Art Unit: 3634

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

Page 3

- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1- 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US patent 4089134 to Koike in view of US patent 5855095 to Dedrich and US PG pub 2004/0049988 to Reul. The motor vehicle door of claim 1 is shown in the '134 reference in figures 1-10 where windowpane 13 movable therein; at least one windowlifting rail 22 for guiding the windowpane; and a module support 11 attached in the interior of the motor vehicle door (unnumbered but clearly shown) for accommodation of elements such as rails 22, wherein the module support 11 comprises at least one receiver 46 for retaining the window-lifting rail 22 in the module support 11 wherein the window-lifting rail 22 assumes a clearly defined position in relation to the module support-and additionally the module support 11 comprises at least one receiver 44 having a projection supporting a step support of the window lifting rail 22 via rail projection 42 for accommodating the vertical weight forces of the window lifting rail with a bore 44c running in the vehicle vertical axis, a screwing (unnumbered but clearly shown in figure 5 running through bores 42c and 44c) running in the direction of the vehicle vertical axis through the bore 44c, for fixation of the window lifting rail 22, to ensure that this screwing does not need to accommodate weight forces of the window lifting rail.

However, the '134 reference does not show the integral receiver or the details of the positive fit receiver so it is not clearly a positive fit receiver.

A positive fit receiver is shown in the '095 reference in figures 1-3 where panel 10 has positive fit receivers 18 that fix the position of window guide 16 holding guide engagement member 36 in receiver slot 22 via groove 40 in member 36.

An integral projection is shown in the '988 reference in figure 2b where inner panel 5 has integral projection 55 allowing for connection with module 1.

One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to provide the door of the '134 reference with the positive fit retainer of the '095 reference and the integral connection of the '988 reference because the bracket with slot and engaging member receiver were know receivers in the art at the time of invention and thus it would be simple substitution of one known receiver for another and further because the receiver of the '095 reference can allow for better fit or alignment of the rails and module and because integral connections were known in the art at the time of invention and thus it would be mere integration of parts and thus obvious, see MPEP 2144.40 IV Section A.

Regarding claim 2, engaging member 36 has a screw 32 in the '095 reference.

Regarding claim 3, the pin is shown in the '988 in figure 1-2b where panel 5 is connected to module 1 via pin 56. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to provide the door of the '134 reference having the positive fit retainer of the '095 reference with the pin connector of the '988 reference because pin connectors were well known in the art at the time of invention and additional connectors would allow for more secure connection between rail and module and thus provide increased durability for the door assembly.

Art Unit: 3634

Regarding claim 4, only one pin 56 is shown in the '988 reference. However, the use of multiple pins would be mere duplication of parts. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to use two pins because more pins can allow for a more secure connection since if one pin fails the other pin can still maintain the connection.

Regarding claim 5, one rail 22 is shown on the door of the '134 reference.

Regarding claim 6, the rail 2 of the '134 reference is made of metal as shown via the cross-hatchings, see MPEP 608.02.

Regarding claim 7, a plastic module is taught in the '988 reference in paragraph [0016]. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to provide the door of the '134 reference having the positive fit retainer of the '095 reference with the plastic module of the '988 reference because plastic was a well known and readily available material in the art and was known to have the benefits of being durable, relatively light weight, and easy to manufacture (see '988 reference paragraph [0016]).

Regarding claims 8 and 9, the module attached to a door frame with outer and inner door panels or skins surrounding it is shown in the '988 reference in figure 5 where module P attaches to door frame K and has panel V to the inside of module P. The outer panel is not shown but is taught via the wet space of paragraph [0019]. The drawn profile module 1 is shown in figures 1-3. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to provide the door of the '134 reference having the positive fit retainer of the '095 reference with the door panel arrangement of the '988 reference because such multi layer doors were well known in the art at the time of invention and were beneficial

in keep water out of the interior as evidenced by the wet and dry side portions (see paragraph [0019]).

Regarding claim 10, socket 28 for a speaker on module 1 is shown in the '988 reference.

Regarding claim 11, as with claim 1 above, the integral connection is shown in the '988 reference in figure 2b where inner panel 5 has integral projection 55 allowing for connection with module 1.

Regarding claim 13, the module support 11 and the projection 44 are both metal as shown by the cross-hatching in figure 5 of the '134 reference (see MPEP 608.02) and such material similarity would remain in keeping with the integral parts of claim 1.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CATHERINE A. KELLY whose telephone number is (571)270-3660. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 9am - 5:30pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Katherine Mitchell can be reached on 571-272-7069. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,768 Page 8

Art Unit: 3634

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3634 Examiner, Art Unit 3634

cak